

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LYCOMING COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA : **No. 99-10,977; 99-11,413**
:
vs. :
:
:
DENNIS HALL, II, :
Defendant : **1925(a) Opinion**

**OPINION IN SUPPORT OF ORDER IN
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 1925(a) OF
THE RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE**

This opinion is written in support of this Court's Orders entered March 30, 2000. The relevant facts are as follows:

On October 27, 1999, the defendant pled guilty to simple assault in case number 99-10,977. The defendant was scheduled for sentencing on January 4, 2000. On that date the defendant indicated he was challenging the Court's jurisdiction over him. The sentencing hearing was continued and the defendant filed a written Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction on or about January 18, 2000.

In case number 99-11,413, the defendant was charged with driving under the influence and related summary offenses. He filed the same Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction in this case.

Both Motions were scheduled for hearing and/or argument on March 15, 2000. However, after reviewing the Motions and cases cited therein, the Court determined as a matter of law that the defendant was not entitled to the relief requested. See Order

dated March 3, 2000.

On March 30, 2000, the Court sentenced the defendant for simple assault in case 99-10,977 and accepted the defendant's plea and sentenced him for driving under the influence and careless driving.

In both case numbers, the defendant filed a Notice of Appeal. In his statement of Matters Complained of on Appeal, the defendant again claims the Court lacked jurisdiction. The reasons for the Court's denial of the defendant's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction can be found in the Order dated March 3, 2000.

DATE: _____

By The Court,

Kenneth D. Brown, J.

cc: Daniel Holmes, Esquire (ADA)
John Smay, Esquire
Work file
Law Clerk
Superior Court (original & 1)
Gary Weber, Esquire (Lycoming Reporter)