IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LYCOMING COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

TS,	: NO. 85-21,291
Petitioner	:
	:
VS.	: DOMESTIC RELATIONS SECTION
	: Exceptions
CB, SR.,	:
Respondent	:
***************************************	***************************************
BLT,	: NO. 97-20,846
Petitioner	:
VS.	: DOMESTIC RELATIONS SECTION
	: Exceptions
CEB, SR.,	:
Respondent	

CEB, SR.,	: NO. 00-21,416
Petitioner	:
	:
VS.	: DOMESTIC RELATIONS SECTION
	: Exceptions
TS,	
Respondent	:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	******
OPINION AND ORDER	

Before the Court are TS exceptions to the Family Court Order of November 21, 2000, in which she was directed to pay child support to CB for the support of the minor child found to be in CB's custody. Argument on the exceptions was heard January 24, 2001.

It appears a previous Order in this matter provided that Mr. Belle pay support to Ms. Strothers for two (2) minor children in her custody, the parties' third minor child having been found to reside with other family members. Mr. Belle sought support from Ms. Strothers for that third minor

child at the hearing on November 21, 2000. The hearing officer found that Mr. Belle had custody of the child and entered a support Order accordingly. Ms. Strothers argues that the evidence upon which that finding was based was inconclusive and the finding was thus in error. Ms. Strothers does not wish to have a transcript prepared, however. The Court is thus unable to say, based upon the contents of the Order alone, that the finding was not supported by the evidence. The exceptions must therefore be denied.

<u>ORDER</u>

AND NOW, this 26th day of January, 2001, for the foregoing reasons, TS exceptions to the Family Court Order of November 21, 2000 are hereby denied and the Order of November 21, 2000 is hereby affirmed.

By the Court,

Dudley N. Anderson, Judge

cc: Family Court Domestic Relations Section William Miele, Esq. BT, CB, Sr. Gary Weber, Esq. Hon. Dudley N. Anderson