IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF LYCOMING COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

:
: No. 06-01506
:
:
:
: Civil Action - Law
•
:
:
•
: Non-jury Verdict
: 1925(a) Opinion

OPINION IN SUPPORT OF ORDER IN COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 1925(a) OF THE RULES OF APPELLATE PROCEDURE

This opinion is written in support of this Court's nonjury verdict entered

November 29, 2007. Judgments were entered on this verdict on December 12, 2007 and

December 14, 2007.

The Court does not believe any issues have been preserved for appeal because

no post-trial motions were filed by any party.¹ See Pa.R.Civ.P. 227.1(c)(2); Chalkey v.

Roush, 569 Pa. 462, 805 A.2d 491 (Pa. 2002)(parties are required to filed post-trial motions

following a trial in law or equity to preserve issues for appeal); Lenhart v. Cigna Companies,

¹ In the event the appellate courts disagree and address the merits of this case, the Court would rely on its verdict entered November 29, 2007.

2003 Pa.Super. 195, 824 A.2d 1193 (Pa.Super. 2003)(post-trial motions are mandatory to

preserve issues for appellate review).

DATE: _____

By The Court,

Kenneth D. Brown, P. J.

 cc: Edward M. Dunham, Jr. Esquire DUANE MORRIS LLP 30 S 17th Street, Philadelphia PA 19103-4196 William P. Carlucci, Esquire Joseph F. Orso, Esquire Work file Gary Weber, Esquire (Lycoming Reporter) Superior Court (original & 1)